## psyc3010 lecture 2

## logic and computations of factorial anova

last week: introduction to factorial designs next week: simple effects and effect size

## Blackboard

## http://www.elearning.uq.edu.au/

see BB for:

- Lecture notes (before lecture, ppt \& pdf)
- Tute notes (after tutes)
- Forums
- Additional material incl. course profile \& practice exams (later)
- Psyc2010 practice exam (not examined _specifically_ but may include material that is also covered in psy3010, which IS examinable)


## announcements

- tutorial allocations now completed - check web or $3^{\text {rd }}$ year noticeboard
- With problems, e-mail e.puhakka@psy.uq.edu.au
- full course outline available on web
- Tutes start this week!
- First tute is immediately after class!


## Revisiting assessment deadlines

- two written assignments
- due dates are :
- assignment $1 \rightarrow 4$ pm Monday September 8th
- assignment $2 \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{pm}$ Monday October 20th



## last week $\rightarrow$ this week

- last week we introduced the concept of factorial designs
- we reviewed \& learned important terminology and concepts:
- Factors / independent variables, dependent variables
- Crossed designs - A x B
- Cell means, marginal means, grand means
- Main effects, interaction effects, simple effects
- How one factor qualifies or moderates the effect of another
- Ordinal and disordinal interactions
- this week we cover factorial designs in more detail, and go over the conceptual and computational processes involved in between-subjects factorial anova


## topics for this week

- conceptual underpinnings of ANOVA
relationship between hypotheses, variance, graphical representations of data, and formulae (one-way and two-way analyses)
- links between $t$, one-way ANOVA, and factorial ANOVA
- understanding linear effects
calculating residuals (error) for individual scores in factorial ANOVA
- calculations underlying ANOVA
- following up interactions with plots


## anova: conceptual underpinnings

- like most statistical procedures we use, anova is all about partitioning variance
- we want to see if variation due to our experimental manipulations or groups of interest is proportionally greater than the rest of the variance (i.e., that is not due to any manipulations etc)
- do participants' scores (on some DV) differ from one another because they are in different groups of our study, more so than they differ randomly and due to unmeasured influences?


## notation review

$\mathbf{H}_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ or (more mathematically convenient) $\mu_{\mathrm{j}} ; \mu$. $=0$

for a one-wəy ÁNOVA, witil ] conditions: mu $\mathrm{i}=$ population means of group j mu dot $=$ population grand mean
null hypothesis = there is no between-group variance (no variability between the group means and the grand mean)
alternative hypothesis = at least one group mean is significantly different from the grand mean.

## Sources of variance...



Null hypothesis: $\mu_{\mathrm{j}}=\boldsymbol{\mu} .[\operatorname{ror} \operatorname{sum}(\mathrm{mu} \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{mu} \operatorname{dot})=0]$
Alt hyp: $\mu \mathbf{j} \neq \boldsymbol{\mu}$. for at least one $\mathbf{j}$ [or sum(mu $\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{m u} \operatorname{dot}) \neq 0]$

## univariate anova <br> Total Variation

## Between-groups variance

## Within-groups variance

But need to consider not just absolute variability between groups but relative variability compared to 'error' variance = within-group variance


## univariate anova

## Total Variation

## Between-groups variance

## Within-groups variance

$n \sum\left(\bar{X}_{j}-\bar{X} .\right)^{2}$
$\mathrm{n} \sum(\mathrm{X} \text { bar } \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{X} \text { bar } \operatorname{dot})^{2}=$ people per group $x$ sum of squared differences between group means and grand mean = estimate of between groups variability
$\sum\left(X_{i j}-\bar{X}_{j}\right)^{2}$ $\sum(\mathrm{X} \text { ij }-\mathrm{X} \text { bar } \mathrm{j})^{2}=$ sum of squared differences between individual scores and group mean = estimate of within groups variability

## So what is ANOVA ?

- 1. Estimate of between-groups variability
- 2. Estimate of within-groups variability
- 3. Weight each variability estimate by \# of observations used to generate the estimate ("degrees of freedom")
- Compare ratio
[[n $\sum\left(\mathrm{X}\right.$ bar j - X bar dot) $\left.\left.{ }^{2}\right] /(\mathrm{j}-1)\right]$
$\left[\left[\left[\sum(\mathrm{Xij}-\mathrm{X} \text { bar j})^{2}\right] /[j(\mathrm{n}-1)]\right]\right.$

When the F ratio is > 1, the treatment effect (variability between groups) is bigger than the "error" variability (variability within groups). Or more specifically:
The sum of the squared differences between the group means and the grand mean $x$ the number of people in each group, divided by the number of groups minus 1 , is bigger than
the sum of the squared differences between the observations and the group means, weighted by the number of observations in each group minus $1 x$ the \# of groups

## hypothesis testing

differences between 2 means - t-test (or one-way anova)

- $H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$
- the null hypothesis - no differences between treatment means
- $H_{1}$ : the null hypothesis is false
- the alternative hypothesis - there is a difference between treatment means
differences among 3+ means - one-way anova
- $H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=\ldots=\mu_{j}$
- the null hypothesis - no differences among treatment means
- $H_{1}$ : the null hypothesis is false
- the alternative hypothesis - there is at least one difference among treatment means


## logic of the t-test

- independent samples t-test: 'Is the difference between two sample means greater than would be expected by chance?'


A ratio of the systematic variance (i.e. your experimental manipulation) to the unsystematic variance
$t=\quad$ observed difference between two independent means estimate of the standard error of the mean differences
if the observed difference is similar to the difference you would typically expect between means, $t=1$
if the observed difference is greater than the difference you would typically expect between means, $t>1$
larger values of t indicate that $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is probably wrong

## logic of univariate (one-way) anova

- the test statistic is the F-ratio

```
F=MS treat }/M\mp@subsup{S}{\mathrm{ error}}{
```


where $M S_{\text {treat }}=$ index of variability among treatment means $\left(\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{TR}} / \mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{TR}}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{df} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$
and $M S_{\text {error }}=$ index of variability among participants within a cell, i.e. pooled within-cell variance $\left(\mathrm{SS}_{\text {Error }} / \mathrm{df}\right.$ Error $)$
$=$ average of $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ from each sample, a good estimate of $\sigma_{e}{ }^{2}$ (population variance)

- if $M S_{\text {treat }}$ is a good estimate of $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{2}, F=M S_{\text {treat }} / M S_{\text {error }}=1$
- if $M S_{\text {treat }}>\sigma_{e}{ }^{2}, F=M S_{\text {treat }} / M S_{\text {error }}>1$
- larger values of F indicate that H0 is probably wrong


## the structural model of univariate anova

$$
X_{i j}=\mu+\tau_{j}+\Theta_{i j}
$$

for $i$ cases and $j$ treatments:
$X_{i j}$, any DV score is a combination of:
$\mu \rightarrow$ the grand mean,
$\tau_{j} \rightarrow$ the effect of the $\boldsymbol{j}$-th treatment $\left(\mu_{j}-\mu\right)$
$e_{i j}>$ error, averaged over all I cases and j treatments

## Derivation for one-way ANOVA: expected mean squares

- an expected value of a statistic is defined as the 'long-range average' of a sampling statistic
- our expected mean squares - are:
$-E\left(\mathrm{MS}_{\text {error }}\right) \rightarrow \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{2}$
- i.e., the long term average of the variances within each sample ( $S^{2}$ ) would be the population variance $\sigma_{e}^{2}$
$-E\left(\mathrm{MS}_{\text {treat }}\right) \rightarrow \sigma_{e}^{2}+n \sigma_{\tau}^{2}$
- where $\sigma_{\tau}{ }^{2}$ is the long term average of the variance between sample means and $n$ is the number of observations in each group
- i.e., the long term average of the variances within each sample PLUS any variance between each sample
- Basically - if group means don't vary then $\boldsymbol{n} \sigma_{\tau}{ }^{2}=\mathbf{0}$, and so then $E\left(\mathrm{MS}_{\text {treat }}\right)=\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+0=\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}=E\left(\mathrm{MS}_{\text {error }}\right)=\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}$
See e.g., Howell (2007) p. 303


## partitioning the variance



$\square$ error<br>$\square$ treatment



## Research questions

- One-way: Is there a treatment effect (is there between-group variability)?
- Two-way:
- Is there a main effect of A ? (IS there variability between the levels of A, averaging over the other factor? [Do the A group means differ from each other? Do the marginal means of A differ from the grand mean?])
- Is there a main effect of B ? (Is there variability between the levels of B, averaging over the other factor? [Do the B group means differ from each other? Do the marginal means of $B$ differ from the grand mean?])
- Is there an A x B interaction? (Does the simple effect of A change for different $B$ groups? Does the simple effect of $B$ change for different A groups?) [Does the simple effect change across the levels of the other factor? Do the cell means differ from the grand mean more than would be expected given the effects of A and B?]


## Sources of variance in 2 way factorial

 designs

## univariate anova

## Total Variation

## Between-groups variance <br> Within-groups variance



Variance due to factor A

Variance due to AXB

## So what is factorial ANOVA ?

- 1. In 2-way design, estimate betweengroups variability
- Due to main effect of first factor
- Due to main effect of second factor
- Due to interaction of two factors
- 2. Estimate within-groups variability
- 3. Weight each variability estimate by \# of observations used to generate the estimate ("degrees of freedom")
- Compare ratio
- of between-groups variability among levels of A to error, B to error, and ABcells (adjusted for main effects) to error


## Formulae for a 2 way with factors $A$ and $B$

## Conceptual or Definitional between-subjects design

 between-subjects design}Total

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{\text {ToтaL }}=\sum(X-\bar{X} . .)^{2} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l}
\text { Squaring the deviation of every score } \\
\text { from the grand mean } \times 1 \text { (\# of } \\
\text { observations behind every score) } \\
\text { total SS }
\end{array} \\
& \text { Between-Groups }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{A}=n b \sum\left(\bar{X}_{j .}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l}
\text { Squaring the deviation of the marginal } \\
\text { means for each level of the factor from }
\end{array} \\
& S S_{B}\left.=n a \sum\left(\bar{X}_{. k}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} \longrightarrow \bar{X}_{. k}+\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
& \text { the grand mean } \times[\mathrm{n} \times \text { levels of other } \\
& \text { factor }(\# \text { of observations behind each } \\
&\text { factor marginal mean })]=\text { factor } \mathrm{SS}
\end{aligned}
$$ observations behind each cell mean) $=$ factor SS

$$
S S_{\text {ERROR }}=\sum\left(X-\bar{X}_{j k}\right)^{2}
$$

Squaring the deviation of each score from the cell mean x 1 (\# of observations behind each score) = within-cell or error SS

Formulae for a 2 way between-subjects $A \times B$ design

## Conceptual

Total
$S S_{\text {TOTAL }}=\sum(X-\bar{X})^{2}$

## Between-Groups

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{A} & =n b \sum\left(\bar{X}_{j .}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
S S_{B} & =n a \sum\left(\bar{X}_{. k}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
S S_{A B} & =n \sum\left(\bar{X}_{j k}-\bar{X}_{j .}-\bar{X}_{. k}+\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Within Cells
$S S_{\text {ERROR }}=\sum\left(X-\bar{X}_{j k}\right)^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hint: } T=\text { total = sum } \\
& \text { of } X
\end{aligned}
$$

## Computational

Total
$S S_{\text {TOTAL }}=\sum X^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum X\right)^{2}}{N}=\sum X^{2}-\frac{(T . .)^{2}}{N}$
Between-Groups

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{A}=\sum \frac{T_{j .}^{2}}{n b}-\frac{\left(T_{. .}\right)^{2}}{N} \\
& S S_{B}=\sum \frac{T_{. k}^{2}}{n a}-\frac{(T .)^{2}}{N} \\
& S S_{C E L L S}=\sum \frac{T_{j k}^{2}}{n}-\frac{(T . .)^{2}}{N} \\
& S S_{A B}=S S_{\text {CELLS }}-S S_{A}-S S_{B}
\end{aligned}
$$

Within Cells
$S S_{\text {ERROR }}=S S_{\text {TOTAL }}-S S_{\text {CELLS }}$

## Degrees of freedom summary

dftotal $=N-1$
dffactor $=$ no. of levels of the factor -1
$d f_{B}=\mathrm{b}-1$
$d f_{A}=a-1$
$d f i n t e r a c t i o n=$ product of $d f$ in factors included in the interaction
$d f_{B A}=(b-1) \times(a-1)$
$d f_{\text {error }}=$ total no. of observations - no. of treatments
$=N-\mathrm{ba}$
or df for each cell x no. of cells
$=(n-1) \mathrm{ba}$

## univariate anova

## Total $d f=N-1$

## Between-groups off

$$
=a b-1
$$

## Within-groups df <br> $=N-a b=a b(n-1)$

df for factor A

$$
=a-1
$$

## hypothesis testing

## factorial anova

- main effects (shown for an IV with 3 levels)
$-H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}$
- no differences among means across levels of the factor
$-H_{1}$ : null is false
- Interaction (shown for a $2 \times 3$ design)
$-H_{0}: \mu_{11}-\mu_{21}=\mu_{12}-\mu_{22}=\mu_{13}-\mu_{23}$
- if there are differences between particular factor means, they are constant at each level of the other factor (hence the parallel lines)
- The 'difference of the differences' is zero
$-H_{1}$ : null is false


## logic of factorial anova

A simple extension of one-way anova

- the F-ratio is still the test statistic we use

$$
F=M S_{\text {treat }} / M S_{\text {error }}
$$

as for univariate anova, $M S_{\text {error }}=$ pooled variance (average s²)
but now we have a separate $M S_{\text {treat }}$ for each effect:

1) $M S_{\text {treat }}$ for effect of factor $\boldsymbol{A}=M S_{A}$ (first main effect)
2) $M S_{\text {treat }}$ for effect of factor $B=M S_{B}$ (second main effect)
3) $M S_{\text {treat }}$ for effect of factor $A B=M S_{A B}$ (interaction effect)

> A ratio of the systematic variance of EACH EFFECT (i.e. of your experimental manipulations or treatments) to the unsystematic variance

## Derivation for factorial ANOVA: expected mean squares

- $E\left(\mathrm{MS}_{\text {error }}\right)$
$-\sigma_{e}^{2}$ (i.e., pooled within group variance - as for univariate anova)
- $E\left(\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$
$-\sigma_{e}{ }^{2}+n b \sigma_{\alpha}^{2}$ (i.e., pooled within group variance PLUS variance between levels of A)
- $E\left(\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$
$-\sigma_{e}{ }^{2}+n a \sigma_{\beta}^{2}$ (i.e., pooled within group variance PLUS variance between levels of B)
- $E\left(\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{AB}}\right)$
$-\sigma_{e}{ }^{2}+n \sigma_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{2}$ (i.e., pooled within group variance PLUS variance between the different combinations of $A$ and $B$ levels)


## the conceptual model of factorial anova

## $X_{j k}=\mu+\alpha_{j}+\beta_{k}+\alpha \beta_{j k}+$

 for i cases, factor A with $j$ eepatments, factor B with $k$ treatments, and the $\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{B}$ interattion with $j k$ treatments:$X_{i j k}$ any DV score is a combination of:
$\mu \rightarrow$ the grand mean,
$\alpha_{j} \rightarrow$ the effect of the $j$-th treatment of factor $A\left(\mu_{A j}-\mu\right)$,
$\beta_{k} \rightarrow$ the effect of the $k$-th treatment of factor $B\left(\mu_{B k}-\mu\right)$, $\alpha \beta_{\text {jk }} \rightarrow$ the effect of differences in factor $A$ treatments at different levels of factor $B$ treatments ( $\mu-\mu_{A j}-\mu_{B k}+\mu_{j k}$ ), $e_{i j k} \rightarrow$ error, averaged over all $j$ treatments, $k$ treatments and i cases

## partitioning the variance



$\square$ main effect of $A$<br>$\square$ main effect of $B$<br>$\square$ AxB interaction $\square$ error

## 1-way (univariate) between-subjects anova



## 2-way (factorial) between-subjects anova

| Logic | Derivation <br> (expected mean squares) | Linear Model |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For each effect: Compare variance between means with variance within groups: | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)=\sigma_{e}^{2}+n b \sigma_{\alpha}^{2} \\ \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)=\sigma_{e}^{2}+n a \sigma_{\beta}^{2} \\ \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{AB}}\right)=\sigma_{e}^{2}+n \sigma_{\alpha \beta \beta}^{2} \\ \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{MS}_{\text {error }}\right)=\sigma_{e}^{2} \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ <br> To test each effect (i.e., main effects and the interaction): $\frac{M S_{\text {effect }}}{M S_{\text {error }}}=F$ | $\begin{aligned} & X_{i j k}= \\ & \mu+\alpha_{i}+\beta_{k}+\alpha \beta_{j k}+e_{i j k} \end{aligned}$ |

## assumptions of anova

- population
- treatment populations are normally distributed (assumption of normality)
- treatment populations have the same variance (assumption of homogeneity of variance)
- sample
- samples are independent - no two measures are drawn from the same participant
- c.f. repeated-measures anova - more on that later in the semester
- each sample obtained by independent random sampling - within any particular sample, no choosing of respondents on any kind of systematic basis
- each sample has at least 2 observations and equal $\boldsymbol{n}$
- data (DV scores)
- measured using a continuous scale (interval or ratio)
- mathematical operations (calculations for means, variance, etc) do not make sense for other kinds of scales


## an application of betweensubjects factorial anova

- A psychological study of creativity in complex sociochemical environments (Field, 2000)
- 2 factors:
- three groups of participants go to the pub and have:
- No beer, or 2 pints or 4 pints
- half of the participants are distracted and half are not distracted (controls)
- hence, a $2 \times 3$ between-subjects factorial design
- DV: Creativity
- unbiased $3^{\text {rd }}$ parties rate the quality of limericks made up by each of our participants


## an application of betweensubjects factorial anova

research questions:

- Is there a main effect of alcohol consumption?
- does the quality of limerick you make up depend upon how many pints of beer you have had?
- Is there a main effect of distraction?
- Does the quality of limerick you make up depend on whether you were distracted or not?
- Is there a consumption x distraction interaction?
- does the effect of distraction upon creativity depend upon consumption (or does the effect of consumption upon creativity depend upon distraction)
a combination of IV levels, e.g., 0 pints and distracted, is called a cell

| Distraction | Alcohol Consumption (pints) |  |  | Marginal Totals (B) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 2 | 4 |  |
| Distraction | 50 | 45 | 30 |  |
|  | 55 | 60 | 30 |  |
|  | 80 | 85 | 30 |  |
|  | 65 | 65 | 55 |  |
|  | 70 | 70 | 35 |  |
|  | 75 | 70 | 20 |  |
|  | 75 | 80 | 45 |  |
|  | 65 | 60 | 40 |  |
| Cell Totals | 535 | 535 | 285 | 1355 |
|  | 65 | 70 | 55 |  |
|  | 70 | 65 | 65 |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | 70 |  |
| Controls | 60 | 70 | 55 |  |
|  | 60 | 65 | 55 |  |
|  | 55 | 60 | 60 |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | 50 |  |
|  | 55 | 50 | 50 |  |
| Cell Totals | 485 | 500 | 460 | 1445 |
| Marginal |  |  |  |  |
| Totals (A) | 1020 | 1035 | 745 | 2800 |

cell totals are calculated - these are just the sum of $\boldsymbol{n}$ observations in each cell
marginal totals for each level of each factor are also calculated - these are the sum of the corresponding cell totals - summed over levels of the other factor
the grand total is the sum of all N observations

| Distraction | Alcohol Consumption (pints) |  |  | Marginal Totals (B) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 2 | 4 |  |
| Distraction | 50 | 45 | 30 |  |
|  | 55 | 60 | 30 |  |
|  | 80 | 85 | 30 |  |
|  | 65 | 65 | 55 |  |
|  | 70 | 70 | 35 |  |
|  | 75 | 70 | 20 |  |
|  | 75 | 80 | 45 |  |
|  | 65 | 60 | 40 |  |
| Cell Totals | 535 | 535 | 285 | 1355 |
|  | 65 | 70 | 55 |  |
|  | 70 | 65 | 65 |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | 70 |  |
| Controls | 60 | 70 | 55 |  |
|  | 60 | 65 | 55 |  |
|  | 55 | 60 | 60 |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | 50 |  |
|  | 55 | 50 | 50 |  |
| Cell Totals | 485 | 500 | 460 | 1445 |
| Marginal |  |  |  |  |
| Totals (A) | 1020 | 1035 | 745 | 2800 |

cell means are calculated - these are just the average of $\boldsymbol{n}$ observations in each cell

| Distraction | Alcohol Consumption (pints) |  |  | Marginal Totals (B) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 2 | 4 |  |
| Distraction | 50 | 45 | 30 |  |
|  | 55 | 60 | 30 |  |
|  | 80 | 85 | 30 |  |
|  | 65 | 65 | 55 |  |
|  | 70 | 70 | 35 |  |
|  | 75 | 70 | 20 |  |
|  | 75 | 80 | 45 |  |
|  | 65 | 60 | 40 |  |
| Cell Totals | 535 | 535 | 285 | 1355 |
| Cell Means | 66.88 | 66.88 | 35.63 | 56.46 |
| Controls | OJ | 10 | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  | 70 | 65 | 65 |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | 70 |  |
|  | 60 | 70 | 55 |  |
|  | 60 | 65 | 55 |  |
|  | 55 | 60 | 60 |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | 50 |  |
|  | 55 | 50 | 50 |  |


| Cell Totals | 105 | 50n | 160 | 1115 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cell Means | 60.63 | 62.50 | 57.50 | 60.21 |
| Marginal |  |  |  |  |
| Totals (A) | 109n | 1035 | 745 | 2800 |
| Means | 63.75 | 64.69 | 46.56 | 58.33 |
|  |  |  |  | 40 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{i j k}=\mu+\alpha_{j}+\beta_{k}+\alpha \beta_{j k}+ \\
& \epsilon_{i j k}
\end{aligned}
$$

| Distraction | Alcohol Consumption (pints) |  |  | Marginal <br> Totals (B) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 2 | 4 |  |
| Distraction | 50 | 45 | 30 |  |
|  |  | 60 | 30 |  |
|  | 80 | 85 | 30 |  |
|  | 65 | 65 | 55 |  |
|  | 70 | 70 | 35 |  |
|  | 75 | 70 | 20 |  |
|  | 75 | 80 | 45 |  |
|  | 65 | 60 | 40 |  |
| Cell Totals | 535 | 535 | 285 | 1355 |
| Cell Means | 66.88 | 66.88 | 35.63 | 56.46 |
|  | 65 | 70 | 55 |  |
|  | 70 | 65 | 65 |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | 70 |  |
| Control | 60 | 70 | 55 |  |
|  | 60 | 65 | 55 |  |
|  | 55 | 60 | 60 |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | 50 |  |
|  | 55 | 50 | 50 |  |
| Cell Totals | 485 | 500 | 460 | 1445 |
| Cell Means | 60.63 | 62.50 | 57.50 | 60.21 |
| Marginal |  |  |  |  |
| Totals (A) | 1020 | 1035 | 745 | 2800 |
| Means | 63.75 | 64.69 | 46.56 | 58.33 |

$X_{i j k}=\mu+\alpha_{j}+\beta_{k}+\alpha \beta_{j k}+$ $\boldsymbol{e}_{i j k} \boldsymbol{s o} . .$.
$X_{332}=\mu+\alpha_{3}+\beta_{2}+\alpha \beta_{32}+$
$\epsilon_{332}$
where
$\alpha_{3}=\mu_{A B}-\mu$
$=46.56-58.33=-11.87$
$\beta_{2}=\mu_{B 2}-\mu$

$$
=60.21-58.33=1.88
$$

$\alpha \beta_{32}=\left(\mu-\mu_{A 3}-\mu_{B 2}+\right.$ $\left.\mu_{A B 32}\right)$,
= 58.33-46.56-60.21 + $57.50=9.06$
therefore...
$70=58.33-11.87+1.88+$
$9.06+e_{332}$
(and $e_{332}=12.6$ )

| Distraction | Alcohol Consumption (pints) |  |  | Marginal Totals (B) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 2 | 4 |  |
| Distraction | 50 | 45 | 30 |  |
|  | 55 | 60 | 30 |  |
|  | 80 | 85 | 30 |  |
|  | 65 | 65 | 55 |  |
|  | 70 | 70 | 35 |  |
|  | 75 | 70 | 20 |  |
|  | 75 | 80 | 45 |  |
|  | 65 | 60 | 40 |  |
| Cell Totals | 535 | 535 | 285 | 1355 |
| Cell Means | 66.88 | 66.88 | 35.63 | 56.46 |
|  | 65 | 70 | 55 |  |
|  | 70 | 65 | 65 |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | (70) |  |
| Control | 60 | 70 | 5 |  |
|  | 60 | 65 | 55 |  |
|  | 55 | 60 | 60 |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | 50 |  |
|  | 55 | 50 | 50 |  |
| Cell Totals | 485 | 500 | 460 | 1445 |
| Cell Means | 60.63 | 62.50 | 57.50 | 60.21 |
| Marginal |  |  |  |  |
| Totals (A) | 1020 | 1035 | 745 | 2800 |
| Means | 63.75 | 64.69 | 46.56 | 58.33 |
|  |  |  |  | 45 |

Formulae for a 2 way between-subjects $A \times B$ design

## Conceptual

Total
$S S_{\text {TOTAL }}=\sum(X-\bar{X})^{2}$

## Between-Groups

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{A} & =n b \sum\left(\bar{X}_{j .}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
S S_{B} & =n a \sum\left(\bar{X}_{. k}-\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2} \\
S S_{A B} & =n \sum\left(\bar{X}_{j k}-\bar{X}_{j .}-\bar{X}_{. k}+\bar{X}_{. .}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Within Cells
$S S_{\text {ERROR }}=\sum\left(X-\bar{X}_{j k}\right)^{2}$

## Computational

## Total

$$
S S_{\text {TorxL }}=\sum x^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum X\right)^{2}}{N}=\sum x^{2}-\frac{(T)^{2}}{N}
$$

Between-Groups

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{A}=\sum \frac{T_{j .}^{2}}{n b}-\frac{\left(T_{. .}\right)^{2}}{N} \\
& S S_{B}=\sum \frac{T_{. k}^{2}}{n a}-\frac{(T . .)^{2}}{N} \\
& S S_{\text {CELLS }}=\sum \frac{T_{j k}^{2}}{n}-\frac{(T . .)^{2}}{N} \\
& S S_{A B}=S S_{\text {CELLS }}-S S_{A}-S S_{B}
\end{aligned}
$$

Within Cells

$$
S S_{\text {ERROR }}=S S_{\text {TOTAL }}-S S_{\text {CELLS }}
$$

## 

dftotal $=N-1$
dffactor = no. of levels of the factor -1
$d f_{B}=\mathrm{b}-1$
$d f_{A}=a-1$
dfinteraction = product of $d f$ in factors included in the interaction
$d f_{B A}=(\mathrm{b}-1) \times(\mathrm{a}-1)$
$d f_{\text {error }}=$ total no. of observations - no. of treatments
$=N-\mathrm{ba}$
or df for each cell $x$ no. of cells
$=(n-1) \mathrm{ba}$

| Distraction | Alcohol Consumption (pints) |  | Marginal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 2 | 4 | Totals (B) |


|  | 50 | 45 | 30 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 55 | 60 | 30 |  |
|  | 80 | 85 | 30 |  |
|  | 65 | 65 | 55 |  |
| Distraction | 70 | 70 | 35 |  |
|  | 75 | 70 | 20 |  |
|  | 75 | 80 | 45 |  |
|  | 65 | 60 | 40 |  |
| Cell Totals | 535 | 535 | 285 | 1355 |
| Cell Means | 66.88 | 66.88 | 35.63 | 56.46 |
|  | 70 | 65 | 65 |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | 70 |  |
| Controls | 60 | 70 | 55 |  |
|  | 60 | 65 | 55 |  |
|  | 55 | 60 | 60 |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | 50 |  |
|  | 55 | 50 | 50 |  |
| Cell Totals | 485 | 500 | 460 | 1445 |
| Cell Means | 60.63 | 62.50 | 57.50 | 60.21 |
| Marginal |  |  |  |  |
| Totals (A) | 1000 | 109 | 71 | 0000 |
| Means | 63.75 | 64.69 | 46.56 | 58.33 |

## $S S_{\text {total }}$

-same as in univariate anova -variability around grand mean

## $S_{A}$ and $S_{B}$

- similar to SS $_{\text {treat }}$ in univariate anova
- variability among marginal means


## SS ${ }_{\text {cells }}$

- variability among cell means
- caused by effect of A, B or A X B
$S S_{A B}=S S_{\text {cells }}-S S_{A}-S S_{B}$
- variability due to $A \times B$
$S S_{\text {error }}{ }^{-}$same as univ. anova
- variability around cell mean

| Distraction | Alcohol Consumption (pints) |  |  | Marginal <br> Totals (B) | $S S_{\text {total }}=\Sigma X^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distraction | 50 | 45 | 30 |  | $=172300-163333.3=\mathbf{8 9 6 6 . 7}$ |
|  | 55 | 60 | 30 |  |  |
|  | 80 | 85 | 30 |  |  |
|  | 65 | 65 | 55 |  |  |
|  | 70 | 70 | 35 |  |  |
|  | 75 | 70 | 20 |  |  |
|  | 75 | 80 | 45 |  |  |
|  | 65 | 60 | 40 |  |  |
| Cell Totals Cell Means | 535 | 535 | 285 | 1355 |  |
|  | 66.88 | 66.88 | 35.63 | 56.46 |  |
|  | 65 | 70 | 55 |  |  |
|  | 70 | 65 | 65 |  |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | 70 |  |  |
| Controls | 60 | 70 | 55 |  |  |
|  | 60 | 65 | 55 |  |  |
|  | 55 | 60 | 60 |  |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | 50 |  |  |
|  | 55 | 50 | 50 |  |  |
| Cell Totals | 485 | 500 | 460 | 1445 |  |
| Cell Means | 60.63 | 62.50 | 57.50 | 60.21 |  |
| Marginal |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals (A) | 1020 | 1035 | 745 | 2800 |  |
| Means | 63.75 | 64.69 | 46.56 | 58.33 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Distraction | Alcohol Consumption (pints) |  |  | Marginal Totals (B) | $S S_{\text {total }}=\Sigma X^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distraction | 50 | 45 | 30 | $\begin{array}{r} =172300-163333.3=\mathbf{8 9 6 6 . 7} \\ \boldsymbol{S S}_{A}=\frac{\sum T_{A}{ }^{2}}{n b}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N} \\ =\left(1020^{2}+1035^{2}+745^{2}\right) / 16-163333.3 \\ =\mathbf{3 3 3 2 . 3} \end{array}$ |  |
|  | 55 | 60 | 30 |  |  |
|  | 80 | 85 | 30 |  |  |
|  | 65 | 65 | 55 |  |  |
|  | 70 | 70 | 35 |  |  |
|  | 75 | 70 | 20 |  |  |
|  | 75 | 80 | 45 |  |  |
|  | 65 | 60 | 40 |  |  |
| Cell Totals Cell Means | 535 | 535 | 285 | $1355$ |  |
|  | 66.88 | 66.88 | 35.63 | $56.46$ |  |
|  | 65 | 70 | 55 |  |  |
|  | 70 | 65 | 65 |  |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | 70 |  |  |
| Controls | 60 | 70 | 55 |  |  |
|  | 60 | 65 | 55 |  |  |
|  | 55 | 60 | 60 |  |  |
|  | 60 | 60 | 50 |  |  |
|  | 55 | 50 | 50 |  |  |
| Cell Totals | 485 | 500 | 460 | 1445 |  |
| Cell Means | 60.63 | 62.50 | 57.50 | 60.21 |  |
| Marginal |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals (A) | 1020 | 1035 | 745 | 2800 |  |
| Means | 63.75 | 64.69 | 46.56 | 58.33 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |






$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{\text {total }}=\Sigma X^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N} \\
& \quad=172300-163333.3=8966.7
\end{aligned}
$$

Summary Table
Source df SS MS F $=1020^{2}+1035^{2}+745^{2} / 16-163333.3$

| A (cons) | $S S_{B}=\frac{\sum T_{B}{ }^{2}}{n a}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N}=1355^{2}+1445^{2} / 24$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| B (dist) | -163333.3 | $=\mathbf{1 6 8 . 7 8}$ |
| AB |  |  |

## Error

## Total

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{\text {cells }}=\frac{\sum T_{A B}^{2}}{n}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N} \\
& =535^{2}+535^{2}+285^{2}+485^{2}+500^{2}+460^{2} / 8 \\
& -163333.3 \quad=5479.2 \\
& \boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{A B}}=S S_{\text {cells }}-S S_{A}-S S_{B} \\
& \quad=\mathbf{5 4 7 9 . 2}-\mathbf{3 3 3 2 . 3}-\mathbf{1 6 8 . 7 8}=\mathbf{1 9 7 8 . 1 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{\text {error }} & =S S_{\text {total }}-S S_{\text {cells }} \\
& =8966.7-5479.2=3487.5
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{\text {total }}=\Sigma X^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N} \\
& \quad=172300-163333.3=\mathbf{8 9 6 6 . 7}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary Table

Source df SS MS $\quad \mathbf{F} \quad=1020^{2}+1035^{2}+745^{2} / 16-163333.3$


## Error

## Total

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{\text {cells }}=\frac{\sum T_{A B}^{2}}{n}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N} \\
& =535^{2}+535^{2}+285^{2}+485^{2}+500^{2}+460^{2} / 8 \\
& -163333.3 \quad=5479.2 \\
& \quad \begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{A B}}=S S_{\text {cells }}-S S_{A}-S S_{B} \\
\quad=5479.2-\mathbf{3 3 3 2 . 3}-168.78=\mathbf{1 9 7 8 . 1 2}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{\text {error }} & =S S_{\text {total }}-S S_{\text {cells }} \\
& =8966.7-5479.2=3487.5
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{\text {total }}=\Sigma X^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N} \\
& \quad=172300-163333.3=\mathbf{8 9 6 6 . 7}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary Table

$$
S S_{A}=\frac{\sum T_{A}^{2}}{n b}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N}
$$

$$
\text { Source df } \mathbf{S S} \quad \text { MS } \quad \mathbf{F} \quad=1020^{2}+1035^{2}+745^{2} / 16-163333.3
$$

| A (cons) | 3332.3 | $S S_{B}=\frac{\sum T_{B}^{2}}{n a}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N}=1355^{2}+1445^{2} / 24$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B (dist) | 168.75 | $-163333.3=168.78$ |
| AB |  |  |

## Error

## Total

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{\text {cells }}=\frac{\sum T_{A B}^{2}}{n}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N} \\
& =535^{2+535^{2}+285^{2}+485^{2}+500^{2}+460^{2} / 8}=\underline{5479.2} \\
& -163333.3 \\
& \boldsymbol{S S} \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{A B}}=S S_{\text {cells }}-S S_{A}-S S_{B} \\
& \quad=\mathbf{5 4 7 9 . 2}-\mathbf{3 3 3 2 . 3}-\mathbf{1 6 8 . 7 8}=\mathbf{1 9 7 8 . 1 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
S S_{\text {error }}=S S_{\text {total }}-S S_{\text {cells }}
$$

$$
=8966.7-5479.2=3487.5
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{\text {total }}=\Sigma X^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N} \\
& \quad=172300-163333.3=\mathbf{8 9 6 6 . 7}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary Table

Source df SS MS $\quad \mathbf{F} \quad=1020^{2}+1035^{2}+745^{2} / 16-163333.3$


$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{\text {error }} & =S S_{\text {total }}-S S_{\text {cells }} \\
& =8966.7-5479.2=3487.5
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{\text {total }}=\Sigma X^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N} \\
& \quad=172300-163333.3=\mathbf{8 9 6 6 . 7}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary Table

$$
S S_{A}=\frac{\sum T_{A}{ }^{2}}{n b}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N}
$$

$$
\text { Source df SS } \quad \mathbf{M S} \quad \mathbf{F}=1020^{2}+1035^{2}+745^{2} / 16-163333.3
$$

| A (cons) | 3332.3 | $S S_{B}=\frac{\sum T^{2}}{n a}$ | $=\underline{3332.3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $(\Sigma X)^{2}$ |  |
| B (dist) | 168.75 |  | $\frac{N}{N}=1355$ | $14455^{2} / 24$ |
| AB | 1978.12 |  | -163333.3 | = 168.78 |

Error 3487.5

## Total

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{\text {cells }}=\frac{\sum T_{A B}{ }^{2}}{n}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N} \\
& =535^{2}+535^{2}+285^{2}+485^{2}+500^{2}+460^{2} / 8 \\
& -163333.3 \\
& =5479.2 \\
& \boldsymbol{S \boldsymbol { S } _ { \boldsymbol { A } }} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { B }} \boldsymbol{S S}_{\text {cells }}-S S_{A}-S S_{B} \\
& =54792-3332.3-168.78=1978.12 \\
& \boldsymbol{S} S_{\text {error }}=S S_{\text {total }}-\mathbf{S S}_{\text {cells }} \\
& =8966.7-5479.2=3487.5
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{\text {total }}=\Sigma X^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N} \\
& \quad=172300-163333.3=\mathbf{8 9 6 6 . 7}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary Table

$$
S S_{A}=\frac{\sum T_{A}^{2}}{n b}-\frac{(\Sigma X)^{2}}{N}
$$

## Source df SS

MS F $=1020^{2}+1035^{2}+745^{2} / 16-163333.3$


$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{\text {error }} & =S S_{\text {total }}-S S_{\text {cells }} \\
& =8966.7-5479.2=\mathbf{3 4 8 7 . 5}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary Table

| Source df | SS | MS | F |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A (cons) 2 | 3332.3 |  |  |  |
| B (dist) | 1 | 168.75 |  |  |
| AB | 2 | 1978.12 |  |  |
| Error | 42 | 3487.5 |  |  |
| Total | 47 | 8966.7 |  |  |

MS stands for MEAN-SQUARE

- this is a corrected
variance estimate used to calculate the F-ratio

$$
M S=S S / d f
$$

$d f_{\text {factor }}$
$=$ number of levels of that factor -1

- Consumption (A) $\rightarrow$ 3-1 = 2
- Distraction (B) $\rightarrow$ 2-1 =1
$d f_{\text {interaction }}$
$=$ product of $d f$ values for factors involved in interaction
$-\mathrm{AxB} \rightarrow 1 \mathrm{X} 2=2$
df error
$=N$ - number of cells in the design
- error $\rightarrow 48$ - $6=42$
$d f_{\text {total }}$
$=\mathrm{N}-1 \rightarrow 48-1=47$


## Summary Table

Source df $\mathrm{SS} \quad \mathrm{MS} \quad \mathrm{F} \quad d f_{\text {factor }}$

A (cons) $2 \quad 3332.31666 .15$
$\begin{array}{llll}B & \text { (dist) } & 1 & 168.75 \\ 168.75\end{array}$

| AB | 2 | 1978.12 | 989.06 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Error $423487.5 \quad 83.02$
Total $\quad 478966.7$
MS stands for MEAN-SQUARE

- this is a corrected
variance estimate used to calculate the F-ratio

$$
M S=S S / d f
$$

## Summary Table



|  |  | SPSS provides signific <br> (or you can look u |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Summary Table |  |  |  |

the results of this anova show . . .
a significant main effect of pints consumed
no main effect of distraction
a significant consumption x distraction interaction

## no main effect of distraction



## main effect of alcohol consumed



## disordinal interaction



- next week: Simple effects \& effect size
- Readings:
- skim Howell chapter 12
- read Howell chapter 13.4
- Field chapter 10.1 and 10.2
- tutes this week focus on visually identifying main effects and interactions

